

Tracking Tool for Biodiversity Projects in GEF-3, GEF-4, and GEF-5

Objective 1: Catalyzing Sustainability of Protected Area Systems SECTION II: Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool for Protected Areas

Note: Please complete the management effectiveness tracking tool for EACH protected area that is the target of the GEF intervention and create a new worksheet for each.

Structure and content of the Tracking Tool - Objective 1. Section II:

The Tracking Tool has two main sections: datasheets and assessment form. Both sections should be completed.

1. Datasheets: the data sheet comprises of two separate sections:

ü Data sheet 1: records details of the assessment and some basic information about the site, such as name, size and location etc.

ü Data sheet 2: provides a generic list of threats which protected areas can face. On this data sheet the assessors are asked to identify threats and rank their impact on the protected area.

2. Assessment Form: the assessment is structured around 30 questions presented in table format which includes three columns for recording details of the assessment, all of which should be completed.

Important: Please read the Guidelines posted on the GEF website before entering your data

Data Sheet 1: Reporting Progress at Protected Area Sites	Please indicate your answer here	Notes
	-	
Name, affiliation and contact details for person responsible for completing the METT (email etc.)		
Date assessment carried out		Month DD, YYYY (e.g., May 12, 2010)
Name of protected area		
WDPA site code (these codes can be found on www.unep-wcmc.org/wdpa/)		
Designations(please choose 1-3)		1: National 2: IUCN Category 3: International (please complete lines 35-69 as necessary)
Country		
Location of protected area (province and if possible map reference)		
Date of establishment		
Ownership details (please choose 1-4)		1: State 2: Private 3: Community 4: Other
Management Authority		
Size of protected area (ha)		
Number of Permanent staff		
Number of Temporary staff		
Annual budget (US\$) for recurrent (operational) funds - excluding staff salary costs		
Annual budget (US\$) for project or other supplementary funds - excluding staff salary costs		
What are the main values for which the area is designated		
List the two primary protected area management objectives in below:		
Management objective 1		
Management objective 2		
No. of people involved in completing assessment		
Including: (please choose 1-8)		1: PA manager 2: PA staff 3: Other PA agency staff 4: Donors 5: NGOs 6: External experts 7: Local community 8: Other

Information on International Designations	Please indicate your answer here	
UNESCO World Heritage site (see: http://whc.unesco.org/en/list)		
Date Listed		
Site name		
Site area		

Geographical co-ordinates	
Criteria for designation	(i.e. criteria i to x)
Statement of Outstanding Universal Value	
Ramsar site (see: http://ramsar.wetlands.org)	
Date Listed	
Site name	
Site name	
Geographical number	
Reason for Designation (see Ramsar Information Sheet)	
UNESCO Man and Biosphere Reserves (see: http://www.unesco.org/new/en/natural-	
sciences/environment/ecological-sciences/man-and-biosphere-programme/	
Date Listed	
Site name	Tatal Oans Duffe, and Tanasitian
Site area	Total, Core, Buffe, and Transition
Geographical co-ordinates	
Criteria for designation	
Fulfilment of three functions of MAB	conservation, development and logistic support
Please list other designations (i.e. ASEAN Heritage, Natura 2000) and any supporting information	
below	
	Name
	Detail
	Name
	Detail
	Name
	Detail

Data Sheet 2: Protected Areas Threats (please complete a Data Sheet of threats and assessment for each protected area of the project).

Please choose all relevant existing threats as either of high, medium or low significance. Threats ranked as of high significance are those which are seriously degrading values; medium are those threats having some negative impact and those characterised as low are threats which are present but not seriously impacting values or N/A where the threat is not present or not applicable in the protected area.

1. Residential and commercial development within a protected area		
Threats from human settlements or other non-agricultural land uses with a substantial footprint		
1.1 Housing and settlement	3: High	
1.2 Commercial and industrial areas	2: Medium 3: High	
1.3 Tourism and recreation infrastructure	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	
2. Agriculture and aquaculture within a protected area		
Threats from farming and grazing as a result of agricultural expansion and intensification, including silviculture, mariculture and aquaculture		
2.1 Annual and perennial non-timber crop cultivation	3: High	
2.1a Drug cultivation	3: High	
2.2 Wood and pulp plantations	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	

	0: N/A
2.3 Livestock farming and grazing	1: Low 2: Medium
	3: High
	0: N/A
	1 ¹ l ow
2.4 Marine and freshwater aquaculture	2: Medium
	3: High
3. Energy production and mining within a protected area	
Threats from production of non-biological resources	
······································	0: N/A
	1:1 0/
3.1 Oil and gas drilling	2: Medium
	3: High
	0: N/Ă
2.2 Mining and supervise	1: Low
3.2 Mining and quarrying	2. Medium
	3: High
	0: N/A
3.3 Energy generation, including from hydropower dams	1: Low
	2. Medium
A Transportation and condex condex within a protocted area	3: High
4. Transportation and service corridors within a protected area	
Threats from long narrow transport corridors and the vehicles that use them including associated wildlife mortality	-
	0: N/A
4.1 Roads and railroads (include road-killed animals)	1: Low
	2. Medium
	3: High 0: N/A
	1: Low
 4.2 Utility and service lines (e.g. electricity cables, telephone lines,) 	2: Medium
	3: High
	0: N/A
4.2 Chinaina lanas and sanala	1: Low
4.3 Shipping lanes and canals	2. Medium
	3: High
	0: N/Ā
4.4 Flight paths	1: Low
3 1	2: Medium 3: High
5. Biological resource use and harm within a protected area	s. mign
Threats from consumptive use of "wild" biological resources including both deliberate and unintentional harvesting of	effects; also persecution or control of specific species (note this includes hunting and killing of animals)
	0: N/A
5.1 Hunting, killing and collecting terrestrial animals (including killing of animals as a result of	
5.1 Hunting, killing and collecting terrestrial animals (including killing of animals as a result of human/wildlife conflict)	
	3: High
	0: N/A
	1: Low
5.2 Gathering terrestrial plants or plant products (non-timber)	2: Medium
	3: High
	0: N/Ă
5.3 Logging and wood harvesting	1: Low
5.5 Logging and wood harvesting	2. Medium
	3: High
	0: N/A
5.4 Fishing, killing and harvesting aquatic resources	1: Low 2: Medium
	2: Medium 3: High
6. Human intrusions and disturbance within a protected area	J. High
Threats from human activities that alter, destroy or disturb habitats and species associated with non-consumptive u	ses of hiological resources
ווויינים אינויו וועווימוי מכעיועפט נוומן מופר, עפטעטע טי עוטנערט וומטומנט מווע שפטיפט מסטטלמופע אונוי ווטוו-כטווטנוווענועפ ע	0: N/A
	0: N/A 1: Low
6.1 Recreational activities and tourism	2: Medium
	3: High
	loc rigit

6.2 War, civil unrest and military exercises		
	3: High	
6.3 Research, education and other work-related activities in protected areas	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	
6.4 Activities of protected area managers (e.g. construction or vehicle use, artificial watering points and dams)	0: N/A 1: Low	
6.5 Deliberate vandalism, destructive activities or threats to protected area staff and visitors	0: N/A 1: Low	
7. Natural system modifications		
Threats from other actions that convert or degrade habitat or change the way the ecosystem functions		
	0: N/A	
7.1 Fire and fire suppression (including arson)	1:1 0W	
7.2 Dams, hydrological modification and water management/use	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	
7.3a Increased fragmentation within protected area	0: N/A 1: Low	
7.3b Isolation from other natural habitat (e.g. deforestation, dams without effective aquatic wildlife passages)	0: N/Å	
7.3c Other 'edge effects' on park values	0: N/A 1: Low	
7.3d Loss of keystone species (e.g. top predators, pollinators etc)	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	
8. Invasive and other problematic species and genes		
Threats from terrestrial and aquatic non-native and native plants, animals, pathogens/microbes or genetic materials that have or are predicted to have harmful effects on biodiversity following introduction, spread and/or increase		
8.1 Invasive non-native/alien plants (weeds)	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	
8.1a Invasive non-native/alien animals	0: N/A 1: Low	
8.1b Pathogens (non-native or native but creating new/increased problems)	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	
8.2 Introduced genetic material (e.g. genetically modified organisms)	0: N/Ă 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	
9. Pollution entering or generated within protected area		
Threats from introduction of exotic and/or excess materials or energy from point and non-point sources		
9.1 Household sewage and urban waste water	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	
	jo. riigii	

9.1a Sewage and waste water from protected area facilities (e.g. toilets, hotels etc)	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High
9.2 Industrial, mining and military effluents and discharges (e.g. poor water quality discharge from dams, e.g. unnatural temperatures, de-oxygenated, other pollution)	0: N/A 1: Low
9.3 Agricultural and forestry effluents (e.g. excess fertilizers or pesticides)	
9.4 Garbage and solid waste	
9.5 Air-borne pollutants	3: High
9.6 Excess energy (e.g. heat pollution, lights etc)	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High
10. Geological events	ecies or habitat is damaged and has lost its resilience and is vulnerable to disturbance. Management capacity to respond to some
Geological events may be part of natural disturbance regimes in many ecosystems. But they can be a threat it a spo of these changes may be limited.	ecies or habitat is damaged and has lost its resilience and is vulnerable to disturbance. Management capacity to respond to some
or mese chances may be imited. 10.1 Volcanoes	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High
10.2 Earthquakes/Tsunamis	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High
10.3 Avalanches/ Landslides	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High
10.4 Erosion and siltation/ deposition (e.g. shoreline or riverbed changes)	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High
11. Climate change and severe weather	
Threats from long-term climatic changes which may be linked to global warming and other severe climatic/weather	events outside of the natural range of variation
11.1 Habitat shifting and alteration	3: High
11.2 Droughts	3: High
11.3 Temperature extremes	3: High
11.4 Storms and flooding	0: N/A 1: Low
12. Specific cultural and social threats	

12.1 Loss of cultural links, traditional knowledge and/or management practices	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	
12.2 Natural deterioration of important cultural site values	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	
12.3 Destruction of cultural heritage buildings, gardens, sites etc	0: N/A 1: Low 2: Medium 3: High	

Assessment Form	
 Legal status: Does the protected area have legal status (or in the case of private reserves is covered by a covenant or similar)? 	O: The protected area is not gazetted/covenanted 1: There is agreement that the protected area should be gazetted/covenanted but the process has not yet begun 2: The protected area is in the process of being gazetted/covenanted but the process is still incomplete (includes sites designated under international conventions, such as Ramsar, or local/traditional law such as community conserved areas, which do not yet have national legal status or covenant) 3: The protected area has been formally gazetted/covenanted
Comments and Next Steps	
2. Protected area regulations: Are appropriate regulations in place to control land use and activities (e.g. hunting)?	O: There are no regulations for controlling land use and activities in the protected area 1: Some regulations for controlling land use and activities in the protected area exist but these are major weaknesses 2: Regulations for controlling land use and activities in the protected area exist but there are some weaknesses or gaps 3: Regulations for controlling inappropriate land use and activities in the protected area exist and provide an excellent basis for management
Comments and Next Steps	
3. Law Enforcement: Can staff (i.e. those with responsibility for managing the site) enforce protected area rules well enough?	O: The staff have no effective capacity/resources to enforce protected area legislation and regulations 1: There are major deficiencies in staff capacity/resources to enforce protected area legislation and regulations (e.g. lack of skills, no patrol budget, lack of institutional support) 2: The staff have acceptable capacity/resources to enforce protected area legislation and regulations but some deficiencies remain 3: The staff have excellent capacity/resources to enforce protected area legislation and regulations
Comments and Next Steps	
4. Protected area objectives: Is management undertaken according to agreed objectives?	O: No firm objectives have been agreed for the protected area 1: The protected area has agreed objectives, but is not managed according to these objectives 2: The protected area has agreed objectives, but is only partially managed according to these objectives 3: The protected area has agreed objectives and is managed to meet these objectives
Comments and Next Steps	
5. Protected area design: Is the protected area the right size and shape to protect species, habitats, ecological processes and water catchments of key conservation concern?	 0: Inadequacies in protected area design mean achieving the major objectives of the protected area is very difficult 1: Inadequacies in protected area design mean that achievement of major objectives is difficult but some mitigating actions are being taken (e.g. agreements with adjacent land owners for wildlife corridors or introduction of appropriate catchment management) 2: Protected area design is not significantly constraining achievement of objectives, but could be improved (e.g. with respect to larger scale ecological processes) 3: Protected area design helps achievement of objectives; it is appropriate for
	species and habitat conservation; and maintains ecological processes such as surface and groundwater flows at a catchment scale, natural disturbance patterns etc

B. Protected area boundary demonstrated Comments and heat Steps Comments and		
Comments and Next Steps 0. These is no nanagement plan for the proceeded area in the table graphene of the step prepared to its so their prepared to its so their prepared to its so their prepared to its so their prepared to its so their prepared to its so their problem 3. A nanagement plan estis but it is only being prepared to its so their prepared to its so their problem 3. A nanagement plan estis but it is only being prepared to its so their problem 3. A nanagement plan estis and its is only being prepared to its so their problem 3. A nanagement plan estis and its is only being prepared to its so their problem 3. A nanagement plan estis and its is only being prepared to its so their problem 3. A nanagement plan estis 3. A nanagement plan 3. A nanageme		1: The boundary of the protected area is known by the management authority but is not known by local residents/neighbouring land users 2: The boundary of the protected area is known by both the management authority and local residents/neighbouring land users but is not appropriately demarcated 3: The boundary of the protected area is known by the management authority and
Orments and Next Sep Orments and Next	Comments and Next Steps	
7.a Planning process allows adequate opportunity for key stakeholders to influence the management plan 0. No 7.b Planning process. There is an established schedule and process for periodic relevan and publicity of the state of the process. The results of monitoring, research and evel steps 0. No 7.c Planning process. The results of monitoring, research and evel steps 0. No Comments and Next Steps 0. No Comments and Next Steps 0. No Comments and Next Steps 0. No regular work plan exists 8. Regular work plan actists 1. A regular work plan actists and many activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan actist 1. A regular work plan actists and many activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan actist 1. A regular work plan actists and any activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan actist and all activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan actists and all activities are implemented 3. A regular work plan actist and all activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan actists and many activities are implemented 9. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 0. There is an activities are implemented 10. Protection system are is sufficient to support planing and decision making 0. Protection system are is sufficient to support planing and decision making 11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated su	7. Management plan: Is there a management plan and is it being implemented?	1: A management plan is being prepared or has been prepared but is not being implemented 2: A management plan exists but it is only being partially implemented because of funding constraints or other problems
influence the imagement plan 1: Yes Comments and Next Steps 0: No 7 is Planning process: The results of monitoring, research and evaluation of the management plan in an international planning 0: No 7 c. Planning process: The results of monitoring, research and evaluation are routinely incorporated into planning 0: No regular work plan exists and many activities are implemented 8. Regular work plan: Is there a regular work plan and is it being implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and many activities are implemented 9. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 0: There is little or information anallable on the critical habitas, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is difficient for mask regular work plan exists and many activities are implemented 9. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 0: There is little or information anallable on the critical habitas, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is difficient for mask regular work plan exist and many activities are implemented 10. Protection systems: 1: Information on the inclical habitas, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is difficient for mask regular work plan exist and management is outpart and mark regular work plan exist and management is and west Steps 0: There is inflice on information access/resource use in the protected area? 2: Information on the critical habitas, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected	Comments and Next Steps	
Comments and Next Steps O: No 7.c Planning process: The results of monitoring, research and evaluation are routinely incorporated into O: No 2.c Planning process: The results of monitoring, research and evaluation are routinely incorporated into O: No 2.comments and Next Steps O: No regular work plan exists. 8. Regular work plan: is there a regular work plan and is it being implemented 1: Nest Comments and Next Steps O: There is little or no information available on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area 0. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 2: Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area 1. Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support planning and decision making. 2. Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support all areas of planning and decision making. 3. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 10. Protection systems: 0: Protection systems (partols, particle values of the protected area is sufficient to support all areas of planning and decision making. 2. Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support all areas of pl	influence the management plan Comments and Next Steps	1: Yes
7.6 Planning process: The results of monitoring, research and evaluation are routinely incorporated into planning in 1: Yes O: No regular work plan exists and into the exist but few of the activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and any activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and any activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and any activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 3: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 3: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 3: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 3: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 3: A regular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 3: A regular work plan axists and a local plan and and activities are implemented 3: A regular work plan and set to an information to manage the area? 9. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 0: Foreaction systems are not activation access/resource and all activation and addication making 3: I information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to suport all areas of planning and decision mak	the management plan	
Comments and Next Steps 0: No regular work plan exists 8. Regular work plan: is there a regular work plan and is it being implemented 0: No regular work plan exists 3. Regular work plan: is there a regular work plan and is it being implemented 0: No regular work plan exists and nany activities are implemented 3. Regular work plan exists and nany activities are implemented 0: There is lifte or no information available on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is not sufficient to support planning and decision mange 9. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 0: There is lifte or no information available on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support planning and decision making 0: Comments and Next Steps 0: Protection systems in place to control access/resource use 10: Protection systems 1: Protection systems in place to control access/resource use 11: Research: is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 1: There is a sumagement is and Next Steps 11: Research: is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 2: There is a sumagement is and Next Steps 11: Research: is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 2: There is a sumagement is adviced area in antigeneent educed area in antigeneent educed area in antigeneent educed area in antigeneent educed area management. <td></td> <td></td>		
Comments and Next Steps O 8. Regular work plan: is there a regular work plan and is it being implemented 1. Angular work plan exists 1. Angular work plan exists 8. Regular work plan: is there a regular work plan and is it being implemented 2. A negular work plan exists and all activities are implemented 9. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 0. There is not sufficient to support planning and decision making 9. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 1. Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support planning and decision making 0. Protection systems in place to control access/resource use in the protected area is sufficient to support planning and decision making 0. Protection systems; and Next Steps 10. Protection systems: 0. Protection systems; are onty partially effective in controlling access/resource use 11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 2. There is a small amount of survey and research work? 12. Resource management. Is active resource management being undertaken? 0. Active resource management is not being undertaken?		
8. Regular work plan: Is there a regular work plan and is it being implemented 0: No regular work plan exists out few of the activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan exists out few of the activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan exists and any activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan exists out few of the activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan exists out any activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan exists out any activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan exists out any activities are implemented 3. A regular work plan exists out any activities are implemented 2. A regular work plan exists out any activities are implemented 9. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 2. Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support planning and decision making 2. Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support all precesses and cultural values of the protection systems is planning and decision making 3. Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protection systems are moderately effective in controlling access/resource use 10. Protection systems in place to control access/resource use in the protected area? 0. Protection systems are moderately effective in controlling access/resource use 11. Research: is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 0		1: Yes
0: There is little or no information available on the critical habitats, species and cultural values of the protected area 9: Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 2: Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to romest key areas of planning and decision making 2: Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to most key areas of planning and decision making 3: Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to most key areas of planning and decision making 3: Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support all areas of planning and decision making 4: Comments and Next Steps 0: Protection systems (patrois, permits etc) do not exist or are not effective in controlling access/resource use 10: Protection systems are largely or wholy effective in controlling access/resource use 1: Protection systems are not patrially effective in controlling access/resource use 11: Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 0: There is no survey or research work but it is not directed towards the needs of protected area management 12: Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 0: Active resource management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values are being aptorestantially of trutic		1: A regular work plan exists but few of the activities are implemented 2: A regular work plan exists and many activities are implemented
cultural values of the protected area cultural values of the protected area is output planning and decision making 3. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area? 2. Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support planning and decision making 3. Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support all areas of planning and decision making Comments and Next Steps 10. Protection systems: 10. Protection systems: 10. Protection systems: 11. Protection systems are only partially effective in controlling access/resource use 2. Protection systems are outry and research work? 2. There is no survey or research work taking place in the protected area 11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 12. There is consurvey and research work? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is acti	Comments and Next Steps	
Comments and Next Steps D: Protection systems (patrols, permits etc) do not exist or are not effective in controlling access/resource use 10. Protection systems: 1: Protection systems are only partially effective in controlling access/resource use 2: Protection systems are moderately effective in controlling access/resource use 2: Protection systems are moderately effective in controlling access/resource use 3: Protection systems are largely or wholly effective in controlling access/resource use 3: Protection systems are largely or wholly effective in controlling access/resource use 11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 0: There is no survey or research work taking place in the protected area 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 0: Active resource management is not being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 0: Active resource management is not being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 0: Active resource management is not being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 0: Active resource management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being implemented bus one key issues are not being addressed 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 0: Active resource management of critical habitats, species, ecologicial processes and, cultural values are bei	9. Resource inventory: Do you have enough information to manage the area?	cultural values of the protected area 1: Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is not sufficient to support planning and decision making 2: Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient for most key areas of planning and decision making 3: Information on the critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values of the protected area is sufficient to support all areas of planning and values of the protected area is sufficient to support all areas of planning and
10. Protection systems (patrols, permits etc) do not exist or are not effective in controlling access/resource use 10. Protection systems: Are systems in place to control access/resource use in the protected area? Comments and Next Steps 0: There is no survey or research work taking place in the protected area 0: There is no survey or research work taking place in the protected area 11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 0: Active resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 13. Requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values are being implemented area programme of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values are being implemented bus one key issues are not being addressed 3. Requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values are being implemented bus one key issues are not being addressed 3. Requir		decision making
0: There is no survey or research work taking place in the protected area 11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 0: There is cansall amount of survey and research work but it is not directed towards the needs of protected area management 11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 2: There is comprehensive, integrated programme of survey and research work but it is not directed towards the needs of protected area management 2: There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of survey and research work which is relevant to management needs 3: There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of survey and research work which is relevant to management needs 0: Active resource management so to being undertaken 0: Active resource management is not being undertaken 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 0: Active requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being implemented dz: Many of the requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being implemented but some key issues are not being addressed 3: Requirements for active values are being substantially or fully implemented	10. Protection systems:	controlling access/resource use 1. Protection systems are only partially effective in controlling access/resource use 2. Protection systems are moderately effective in controlling access/resource use 3. Protection systems are largely or wholly effective in controlling access/
0: There is no survey or research work taking place in the protected area 11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 0: There is cansall amount of survey and research work but it is not directed towards the needs of protected area management 11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work? 2: There is comprehensive, integrated programme of survey and research work but it is not directed towards the needs of protected area management 2: There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of survey and research work which is relevant to management needs 3: There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of survey and research work which is relevant to management needs 0: Active resource management so to being undertaken 0: Active resource management is not being undertaken 12. Resource management: Is active resource management being undertaken? 0: Active requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being implemented dz: Many of the requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being implemented but some key issues are not being addressed 3: Requirements for active values are being substantially or fully implemented	Comments and Next Steps	
0: Active resource management is not being undertaken 1: Very few of the requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values are being implemented 2: Many of the requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being implemented but some key issues are not being addressed 3: Requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being substantially or fully implemented	11. Research: Is there a programme of management-orientated survey and research work?	1: There is a small amount of survey and research work but it is not directed towards the needs of protected area management 2: There is considerable survey and research work but it is not directed towards the needs of protected area management 3:There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of survey and research work,
1: Very few of the requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values are being implemented 2: Many of the requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being implemented but some key issues are not being addressed 3: Requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being substantially or fully implemented	Comments and Next Steps	
Comments and Next Steps		1: Very few of the requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and cultural values are being implemented 2: Many of the requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological processes and, cultural values are being implemented but some key issues are not being addressed 3: Requirements for active management of critical habitats, species, ecological
	Comments and Next Steps	

	0: There are no staff 1: Staff numbers are inadequate for critical management activities
13. Staff numbers: Are there enough people employed to manage the protected area?	2: Staff numbers are below optimum level for critical management activities
	3: Staff numbers are adequate for the management needs of the protected area
Comments and Next Steps	
14. Staff training: Are staff adequately trained to fulfill management objectives?	0: Staff lack the skills needed for protected area management 1: Staff training and skills are low relative to the needs of the protected area 2: Staff training and skills are adequate, but could be further improved to fully achieve the objectives of management 3: Staff training and skills are aligned with the management needs of the
Comments and Next Steps	protected area
Comments and Next Steps	0: There is no budget for management of the protected area
15. Current budget: Is the current budget sufficient?	1: The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and present a serious constraint to the capacity to manage 2: The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully achieve effective management 3: The available budget is sufficient and meets the full management needs of th protected area
Comments and Next Steps	
16. Security of budget: Is the budget secure?	 0: There is no secure budget for the protected area and management is wholly reliant on outside or highly variable funding 1: There is very little secure budget and the protected area could not function adequately without outside funding 2: There is a reasonably secure core budget for regular operation of the protected area but many innovations and initiatives are reliant on outside funding 3: There is a secure budget for the protected area and its management needs
Comments and Next Steps	
17. Management of budget: Is the budget managed to meet critical management needs?	0: Budget management is very poor and significantly undermines effectiveness (e.g. late release of budget in financial year) 1: Budget management is poor and constrains effectiveness 2: Budget management is adequate but could be improved 3: Budget management is excellent and meets management needs
Comments and Next Steps	
18. Equipment: Is equipment sufficient for management needs?	0: There are little or no equipment and facilities for management needs 1: There are some equipment and facilities but these are inadequate for most management needs 2: There are equipment and facilities, but still some gaps that constrain management 3: There are adequate equipment and facilities
	3. There are adequate equipment and identities
Comments and Next Steps	
19. Maintenance of equipment: Is equipment adequately maintained?	O: There is be decaded equipment and neurines O: There is little or no maintenance of equipment and facilities 1: There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and facilities 2: There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities 3: Equipment and facilities are well maintained
	0: There is little or no maintenance of equipment and facilities 1: There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and facilities 2: There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities 3: Equipment and facilities are well maintained
19. Maintenance of equipment: Is equipment adequately maintained?	0: There is little or no maintenance of equipment and facilities 1: There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and facilities 2: There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities
19. Maintenance of equipment: Is equipment adequately maintained? Comments and Next Steps 20. Education and awareness: Is there a planned education programme linked to the objectives and	O: There is little or no maintenance of equipment and facilities 1: There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and facilities 2: There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities 3: Equipment and facilities are well maintained O: There is no education and awareness programme 1: There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme 2: There is an education and awareness programme but it only partly meets needs and could be improved 3: There is an appropriate and fully implemented education and awareness
19. Maintenance of equipment: Is equipment adequately maintained? Comments and Next Steps 20. Education and awareness: Is there a planned education programme linked to the objectives and needs? Comments and Next Steps 21. Planning for land and water use: Does land and water use planning recognise the protected area and aid the achievement of objectives?	O: There is little or no maintenance of equipment and facilities 1: There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and facilities 2: There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities 3: Equipment and facilities are well maintained O: There is no education and awareness programme 1: There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme 2: There is an education and awareness programme but it only partly meets needs and could be improved 3: There is an appropriate and fully implemented education and awareness
19. Maintenance of equipment: Is equipment adequately maintained? Comments and Next Steps 20. Education and awareness: Is there a planned education programme linked to the objectives and needs? Comments and Next Steps 21. Planning for land and water use: Does land and water use planning recognise the protected area and aid the achievement of objectives? Comments and Next Steps	0: There is little or no maintenance of equipment and facilities 1: There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and facilities 2: There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities 2: There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities 3: Equipment and facilities are well maintained 0: There is no education and awareness programme 1: There is an education and awareness programme 2: There is an education and awareness programme but it only partly meets 2: There is an education and awareness programme but it only partly meets 2: There is an education and awareness programme but it only partly meets 3: There is an appropriate and fully implemented education and awareness programme 0: Adjacent land and water use planning does not take into account the needs of the protected area and activities/policies are detrimental to the survival of the ar 1: Adjacent land and water use planning does not takes into account the long term needs of the protected area, but activities are not detrimental the area 2: Adjacent land and water use planning partially takes into account the long term needs of the protected area 3: Adjacent land and water use planning fully takes into account the long term
19. Maintenance of equipment: Is equipment adequately maintained? Comments and Next Steps 20. Education and awareness: Is there a planned education programme linked to the objectives and needs? Comments and Next Steps 21. Planning for land and water use: Does land and water use planning recognise the protected area and aid the achievement of objectives?	0: There is little or no maintenance of equipment and facilities 1: There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and facilities 2: There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities 2: There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities 3: Equipment and facilities are well maintained 0: There is no education and awareness programme 1: There is an education and awareness programme 2: There is an education and awareness programme but it only partly meets 2: There is an education and awareness programme but it only partly meets 2: There is an education and awareness programme but it only partly meets 3: There is an appropriate and fully implemented education and awareness programme 0: Adjacent land and water use planning does not take into account the needs of the protected area and activities/policies are detrimental to the survival of the ar 1: Adjacent land and water use planning does not takes into account the long term needs of the protected area, but activities are not detrimental the area 2: Adjacent land and water use planning partially takes into account the long term needs of the protected area 3: Adjacent land and water use planning fully takes into account the long term
19. Maintenance of equipment: Is equipment adequately maintained? Comments and Next Steps 20. Education and awareness: Is there a planned education programme linked to the objectives and needs? Comments and Next Steps 21. Planning for land and water use: Does land and water use planning recognise the protected area and aid the achievement of objectives? Comments and Next Steps 21a. Land and water planning for habitat conservation: Planning and management in the catchment or landscape containing the protected area incorporates provision for adequate environmental conditions	0: There is little or no maintenance of equipment and facilities 1: There is some ad hoc maintenance of equipment and facilities 2: There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities 3: Equipment and facilities are well maintained 0: There is no education and awareness programme 1: There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme 2: There is an education and awareness programme but it only partly meets needs and could be improved 3: There is an appropriate and fully implemented education and awareness programme 0: Adjacent land and water use planning does not take into account the needs the protected area and activities/policies are detrimental to the survival of the 1: Adjacent land and water use planning does not take into account the long term needs of the protected area, but activities are not detrimental the area 2: Adjacent land and water use planning partially takes into account the long term needs of the protected area 3: Adjacent land and water use planning fully takes into account the long to needs of the protected area 0: Adjacent land and water use planning partially takes into account the long to needs of the protected area 0: Adjacent land and water use planning fully takes into account the long to needs of the protected area 0: Adjacent land and water use planning fully takes into account the long to needs of the protected area 0: Adjacent land and water use planning fully takes into account the long to needs of the protected area 0: No

Comments and Next Steps 23. Indigenous and traditional peoples have no input into decisions relating to a management of the protected area incomparent of the protected area inc		
and provide for white parsage is by hadded and the potential of a fail allow my particip that Under the week of potential parsage is by hadded and the potential parsage is and hadded parsage is an analysis of the potential parsage is and parsag		0: No
During between hierborates appending also also that being of allows and the factor of the being of the bein		
21C. Land and value planning for habitar convertation. "Floring adverses exceptation-specific means and acceptation at an acceptation at an acceptation and models best etc." 0. No 22. State and commercial neighboring official or copromation and models are specific. Be management to mainline services of the specific and water users of the specific adverses and head bases. The management of mainline services of the specific adverses and head bases. The management of the specific adverses and head bases. The specific adverses and head bases. The specific adverses and head bases are specific adverses and head bases. The specific adverses and head bases are specific adverses and head bases. The specific adverses and head bases are specific adverses and head bases. The specific adverses and head bases are specific adverses and head bases are specific adverses and head bases are specific adverses and head bases. The specific adverses and head bases are specific adverses and head bases and head bases are specific adverses and head bases and adverses are specific adverses and head bases are specific adverses and head bases and adverses are specific adverses and head bases and adverses are specific adverses and head bases and adverses are specific adverses and head bases are specific adverses and head bases are specific adverses and head bases and adverspate adverses and head bases are specific adv		
and/or the needs of puricular species, for management to maintain avanuable and the species of comments and Next Steps 22. State and communical neighbours is there co-operation with adjacent land and water users? 23. Indigenous propin: Do indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 23. Indigenous propin: Do indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities: There is capacity adjacent and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities: There is capacity adjacent and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities: The protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities: The protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and for adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for management and Next Steps 24. Local c	Comments and Next Steps	
and/or the needs of puricular species, for management to maintain avanuable and the species of comments and Next Steps 22. State and communical neighbours is there co-operation with adjacent land and water users? 23. Indigenous propin: Do indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 23. Indigenous propin: Do indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities: There is capacity adjacent and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities: There is capacity adjacent and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities: The protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities: The protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for an adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and for adjacent and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for a management and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area for management and Next Steps 24. Local c	21c. Land and water planning for babitat conservation. "Planning adresses accesstem-specific needs	
def feedwater flux is sustin particular species, fire management to ministin servands hisbits etc.) Comments and Next Steps Comments and Next Steps 22. State and commential neighbours is there o-operation with adjacent land and water users? 23. Indigenous people: Do indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area have input to management do communities. The is a communities resident or negative and Next Steps Comments and N		
Comments and Next Steps Intere is no control between managers and neighbouring official or corporate in There is control between managers and neighbouring official or corporate in There is control between managers and neighbouring official or corporate in There is control between managers and neighbouring official or corporate in There is control between managers and neighbouring official or corporate in There is control between managers and neighbouring official or corporate in There is control between managers and neighbouring official or corporate in There is control between managers and neighbouring official or corporate lund wear uses, much both your co-pertain and wear uses, but only some co-pertain on managers in the pertain of the pertain of the pertain of the pertain or managers and neighbouring official or corporate lund wear uses, and but distantial or comparison in the pertain of the pertain of the pertain or managers and neighbouring official or corporate lund wear uses, and the distantial or comparison in the pertain of the pertain of the pertain or the pertain or managers in the pertain of the pertain of the pertain of the pertain or managers in the pertain of the pertain of the pertain or the pertain or the pertain or the pertain of the pertain in the pertain of the pertain interpertain of the pertain of the pertain of the pertain of the pertain interpert of the pertain of the perta		
Comments and Next Sees Comments and Nex	· · · · ·	
22. State and commercial neighbours is there co-operation with adjacent land and water users? There is contact between managers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users? There is contact between managers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct between nanagers and neighbouring official or corporate i and water users. Local you construct there are accurate the protect area. Local communities have no input into decisions relating to management do core relation is an averagers. Local you construct there is no water network decisions relating to management do core relation is an averagers. Local water input into discussions relating to management do core relation is an averagers. Local water is an averagers is an averagers is an averager docal and water users. Local communities have no input into decissons relating to management do core relat	Comments and Next Steps	
22. State and commercial neighbours is there co-operation with adjacent land and water users? 23. Indigenous the eighbours is there co-operation with adjacent land and water users? 24. Indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area have input to management decisions? 24. Local communities: Do local communities resident or near the protected area have input to management decisions? 24. Local communities: There is open communities resident or near the protected area have input to management decisions? 24. Ingest on communities: There is open communities resident or near the protected area have input to management decisions? 24. Ingest on communities: There is open communities resident or near the protected area have input to management decisions? 24. Ingest on communities: There is open communities resident or near the protected area have input to management decisions? 24. Ingest on communities: There is open communities resident or near the protected area have input to management decisions? 24. Ingest on communities: There is open communities in the decision relating to management decisions? 24. Ingest on communities: There is open communities in the decision relating to management decisions? 24. Ingest on communities: There is open communities in the decision relating to management decisions? 24. Ingest on communities: There is open communities in the decision relating to management decisions? 25. Economic benefit is the protected area in the bit of decisions relating to management decisions? 26. Impact on communities: There is open communities in the decisions relating to management decisions? 26. Impact on communities: There is open communities in the decisions relating to management decisions? 26. Economic benefit is the protected area in the bit of decisions relating to management decisions? 26. Impact on communities: There is open communities in the decisions? 26. Impact on communities: There is open communities and Next Steps 26. Comments and Next Step		
22. State and commercial neighbours is there co-operation with adjacent land and water users? There is contact between managers and neighbouring official or corporate is and water users, and out and users is contact between managers and neighbouring official or corporate is and water users, and substantial co-operation Comments and Nett Steps 23. Indigenous people: Do indigenous and traditional peoples insettent or regulativity in the protected area Indigenous people: Do indigenous and traditional peoples further on regulativity in the protected area Indigenous and traditional peoples further on regulativity in the protected area Indigenous and traditional peoples further on regulativity in the protected area Indigenous and traditional peoples further on regulativity in the protected area Indigenous and traditional peoples further on regulativity in the protected area Indigenous and traditional peoples further on regulativity in the protected area Indigenous and traditional peoples further on regulativity in the protected area Indigenous and traditional peoples further on regulativity in the protected area Indigenous and traditional peoples further on regulativity in the protected area Indigenous and regulativity and the protected area Indigenous and regulativity and the protected area Indigenous and regulativity and the protected area Indigenous and regu		
22. Salar and commended neighboring official or corporate and weak usess, but or you many one cooperation 3. There is explained contact between managers and neighboring official or corporate and weak usess, but or you many one cooperation 3. There is explained contact between managers and neighboring official or cooperate but and weak usess, and used usess, and used usess or cooperate 23. Indigenous and traditional pooples have no input in docisions relating to area have input to management decisions? 24. Local communities in the strengement 24. Local communities include on the strengement 24. Local communities include on the strengement 24. Local communities include on ear the protected area 1. Local communities include on ear the protected area 1. Local communities include one include the include 24. Local communities include one include the include 24. Local communities include one include the include 24. Local communities include the include the include 25. Economic benefits to enhance 26. Comments and Next Steps 26. Comments and Next Steps 27. Visitor facilities to enhance 26. Comments and Next Steps 27. Visitor facilities to enhance 26. Comments and Next Steps 27. Visitor facilities to enhance 26. Comments and Next Steps 26. Economic benefits to boal and/or indigenous people actively style 27. Visitor facilities and Next Steps 27. Visitor facilities and Next Steps 27. Visitor facilities and evisities of communities include area 27. Visitor facilities and evisities and people actively		
2. Indee control to be were management of composition of a compositio	22 State and commercial neighbours is there co-operation with adjacent land and water users?	
3. There is regular contact between managers and neighbouring official or corporate land and wire uses, and ubdating cosperation management and regularizes have no input into discussions relating to management of the protected area 23. Indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area have input to management decisions? Indigenous and traditional peoples have some input into discussions relating to management to the protected area have input to management decisions? Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous directed area Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decision? Indigenous directed area Indigenous directed	22. Otale and commercial neighbours is there co-operation with adjacent land and water users	2: There is contact between managers and neighbouring official or corporate land
comparts and water users, and wate		
Comments and Nexl Steps 23. Indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area in an angement of the protected area in a contractional peoples have non input into discussions relating to the management of the protected area in a contractional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management the involvement could be improved in an angement decisions relating to management decisin relati		
23. Indigenous people: Do indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area anagement of the protected area there input into discussions relating to a management of the protected area there input into discussions relating to a management of the protected area there input into discussions relating to a management of the protected area there input into discussions relating to a management of the discussions relating to a management of the discussions relating to a management of the discussions relating to management to the involvement could be improved 3. Indigenous and traditional people factory participate in a relevant decisions relating to management to the involvement could be improved 3. Local communities have to input into discussions relating to management to the involvement could be improved 3. Local communities have to input into discussions relating to management to the involvement could be improved 3. Local communities freque participate in an advectation and the set bese to input into discussions relating to management to the involvement could be improved 3. Local communities freque participate in a relevant decisions relating to management to their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities freque participate in an advect steps to management to their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities freque participate in an advect steps to management to their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities freque the advections relating to management to their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities freque participate in a relevant decisions relating to management to their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities freque participate in a relevant decisions relating to management to their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities frequence in their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities frequence in the involvement could be improved 3. Local communities frequence in the involvement could be improved 3. Local communit		corporate land and water users, and substantial co-operation on management
23. Indigenous people: Do indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area area in the protected area in the protect area i	Comments and Next Steps	
23. Indigenous neopie: Do indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area have input to management decisions?		
23. Indigenous people: Do indigenous and traditional peoples resident or regularly using the protected area area have input to management decisions? management but no direct role in management decisions? 24. Indigenous people: Do indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some regularly using the protected area fractional peoples directly contribute to some relax and decisions relating to management. 2. Indigenous peoples directly contribute to some relax and decisions relating to management. 24. Local communities: Do local communities resident or near the protected area have input to management. 0. Local communities directly particulate to some relax and decisions relating to management. 24. Local communities: Do local communities resident or near the protected area have input to management. 1. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. 24. Local communities: De local communities resident or near the protected area have input to management. 0. No 24. Impact on communities: There is open communication and trust behave local and/or indigenous and protected area managers 0. No 24. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous and protected area management. 1. Yes 24. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous and protected area management. 0. No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities. 0. The rotocted area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities from activit associated with the protected area. 26. Monitoring and e		
2 Indigenous and traditional peoples directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management but their involvement cuild be improved 3 Indigenous and traditional peoples directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management but their involvement cuild be improved 3 Indigenous and traditional peoples directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management accommandment but but involvement cuild be improved 3 Indigenous and traditional peoples directly participate in all relevant decisions 24. Local communities: Do local communities resident or near the protected area 1. Local communities have some input into discussions relating to management the protected area 1. Local communities directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management decisions? 24. Local communities directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management et al. do: Annopeent 24. Local communities and Next Steps 24. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community directly indicate and protected area managers 24. Local communities: Programmes to enhance community and Next Steps 24. Lingent on communities: Programmes to enhance community directly approxed 24. Lingent on communities: Programmes to enhance community with an one-protected area 1. Yes 24. Lingent on communities: Programmes to enhance community enhance 24. Lingent on communities: Programmes to enhance community enhance 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities; e.g. 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are vi		
decisions relating to management but their involvement could be improved 3: Indigenous and radional peoples directly participate in all relevant decision relating to management. 24. Local communities: Do local communities resident or near the protected area have input to management decisions? 0. Local communities inclusions relating to management to concern mean to accent mean to accont mean to accent mean to accent mean to accent mean to		
3. Indigenous and traditional peoples directly participate in all relevant decision relating to management, e.g., co-management, e.g., co-m	area have input to management decisions?	
Image: comments and Next Steps Image: comments and Next Steps 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area have input to management, explored and the protected area 1: Local communities have sone input into discussions relating to the management to the involvement could be improved 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area have input to management at the protected area 1: Local communities directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management at the involvement could be improved 24. Local communities resident or near the protected area to management at the involvement could be improved as Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management at the involvement could be improved as Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management at the involvement could be improved as Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management at the improvement at the involvement could be improved as Local communities frequent decisions relating to management at the improvement		
Comments and Next Steps 24. Local communities have no input into decisions relating to the management the protected area 1. Local communities have some input into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management 2. Local communities have some input into discussions relating to management but their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities certly peritopicate in all relevant decisions relating to management but their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities interly peritopicate in all relevant decisions relating to management e.g. co-management 24. a. Impact on communities: There is open communication and trust between local and/or indigenous people, stakeholders and located area management to all area management people, stakeholders and located area management in the protected area open located in the protected area providing economic people incoments and Next Steps 24. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected area incoments and Next Steps 0. No 24. Impact on communities: Drogrammes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected area incoments and Next Steps 0. No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0. The protected area for economic benefits to local communities in Proteing and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0. There is nome flow of economic benefits to local communities in there is an ager flow down consisting protected		
24. Local communities have no input into decisions relating to the management the protected area 1. Local communities have some input into decisions relating to management 1. Local communities directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management to their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management to their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management to their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management to their involvement could be improved 3. Local communities is most protected area 2. Local communities is most protected area 2. Local communities is and prot Steps 2. There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 2. Steps 2. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 2. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 2. Noter is an angle and evaluation in the protected area 1. There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities 3. There is an angle and evaluation in the protected area 1. There is some angle and evaluation in the protected area 1. There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 1. There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 1. There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 1. There is no monit		
24. Local communities: Do local communities resident or near the protected area have input to discussions relating to management decisions? 1: Local communities have some input into discussions relating to management but no direct tole in management to discussions relating to management to their involvement could be improved a: Local communities indirectly antiproved a: Local communities and Next Steps 24 a. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance commanity welfare, while comments and Next Steps 0: No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while comments and Next Steps 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing acconomic benefits to local communities and Next Steps 0: The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities and Next Steps 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: The rise is an one doe monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection or results, is well implemented and upster evaluation; Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is an oneactive and evaluation, but no overall stra	Comments and Next Steps	
24. Local communities: Do local communities resident or near the protected area have input in discussions relating to management decisions? Local communities directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management decisions? Local communities directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to management. Local communities directly participate directly participate directly participate directly participate dinternov dinternov dinterely participate directly participate direc		
24. Local communities: Do local communities resident or near the protected area have input to management. but no direct role in management. Comments and Next Steps 24. Local communities: There is open communication and trust between local and/or indigenous people, stakeholders and protected area management. 0. No 24. a. Impact on communities: There is open communication and trust between local and/or indigenous people, stakeholders and protected area management. 0. No 24. b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected area management. 0. No 24. d. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people, stakeholders and protected area management. 0. No 24. d. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected area 0. No 24. d. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0. No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0. The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities. 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0. There is no monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results. 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0. There are no visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 27. Visitor facilities: Are vis		
management decisions? 2: Local communities directly contribute to some relevant decisions relating to management but thei rivolvement could be improved 24 a. Impact on communities: There is open communitation and trust between local and/or indigenous people, stakeholders and protected area management 0: No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected 0: No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected 0: No 24 b. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities and Next Steps 0: There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities are income, employment, payment for environmental services? 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities are analyof flow of economic benefits to local communities and Next Steps 0: There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities are incomic benefits to local communities are economic benefits to local communities are economic are mainties from activit associated with the protected area 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is an angord flow of		
Comments and Next Steps 0: No 24 a. Impact on communities intervent decisions relating to management, e.q. co-management 0: No 24 a. Impact on communities intervent decisions relating to management, e.q. co-management 0: No 24 a. Impact on communities intervent decisions relating to management, e.q. co-management 0: No 24 b. Impact on communities: Intervent decisions relating to people, stakeholders and protected area resources, are being implemented area resources, are being implemented 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area Comments and Next Steps 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area Comments and Next Steps 0: No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities communities 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities: comments and Next Steps 0: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 1: Potential economic benefits to local communities associated with the protected area 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 1: There is some do no contocing and evaluation in the protected area 1: There is an agreed and implemented monitori		
24 a. Impact on communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to magement. 2. Locat communities directly participate in all relevant decisions relating to magement. 24 a. Impact on communication and trust between local and/or indigenous people, stakeholders and protected area managers 0. No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare. while conserving protected area managers. 0. No 24 b. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0. No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0. No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0. No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0. No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0. There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0. There is no monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection or esuits 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0. There is no monitoring and evaluation, paytern exists, is well implemented on the results 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0. There is no monitoring	management decisions?	
Comments and Next Steps 24 a. Impact on communities: There is open communication and trust between local and/or indigenous people, stakeholders and protected area managers 0: No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected area resources, are being implemented (1: Yes) 0: No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected area resources, are being implemented (1: Yes) 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area comments and Next Steps 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities 3: There is a smajor flow of economic benefits to local communities 3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities 3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities 3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities 3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities 3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities 3: A good monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 1: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 1: There is is an adjor of results 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is no monitoring and		
Comments and Next Steps 24 a. Impact on communiciation and trust between local and/or indigenous people, stakeholders and protected area managers D: No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected D: No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected D: No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected D: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area D: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area D: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area D: No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities D: There is nome flow of economic benefits to local communities from activit associated with the protected area 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities D: There is nome flow of economic benefits to local communities from activit associated with the protected area 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? D: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? D: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected ar		
24 a. Impact on communities: There is open communication and trust between local and/or indigenous people, stakeholders and protected area managers 0. No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected area managers 0. No 24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected area managers 0. No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0. No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0. No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0. No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0. No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0. There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0. There is no monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0. There are no visitor facilities and services are advected for current levels of visitation on unities and services are advected for visitation on unities and services are advected for visitation on current levels of visitation on current levels of visitation on could be improved </td <td>Comments and Next Stars</td> <td></td>	Comments and Next Stars	
In people, stakeholders and protected area manages Comments and Next Steps		
24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community effers, while conserving protected area resources, are being implemented 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area novicoring and evaluation is, is well implemented and novicoring and evaluation is, is well implemented and novicoring and evaluation system but results do not feed back into management activities monitored against performance? 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation system but results do not feed back into management activities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are inappropriate for current levels of visitation under the protect of visitation under the protect of a sea inappropriate for current levels of visitation under the protect of visitation under the protect of visitatinon under the protect of a sea inappropriate fo		
24 b. Impact on communities: Programmes to enhance community welfare, while conserving protected area resources, are being implemented 1: Yes 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area Comments and Next Steps 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area Comments and Next Steps 0: The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: There is some for of economic benefits to local communities are being developed 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is a major flow of economic part evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 1: Visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 1: Visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 1: Visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 1: Visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 1: Visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 1: Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation		
area resources, are being implemented 1: Yes Comments and Next Steps 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities (3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities and Next Steps 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation		
Comments and Next Steps 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No Comments and Next Steps 0: The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities associated with the protected area 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is a majored and implemented monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities and Next Steps 0: There are no visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are inappropriate for current levels of visitation but could be improved		
24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: No 24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 0: The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities. e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: The protected area new of economic benefits to local communities. E.g. Deliver and eveloped 2: There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities 3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities from activit associated with the protected area 2: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 0: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 2: There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation system but results do not feed back into management 0: There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation system but results do not feed back into management 2: G. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation system but in adaptive management 3: A good monitoring and evaluation system exists, is well implemented and u in adaptive management 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are naporportate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are excellent for current le		
24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area 1: Yes Comments and Next Steps 0: The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities income, employment, payment for environmental services? 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g., income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities from activities as major flow of economic benefits to local communities from activities associated with the protected area 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is no monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation system but results do not feed back into management 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation but could be improved 28. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation but could be imp		
Comments and Next Steps 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g., income, employment, payment for environmental services? 0: The protected area does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities 25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g., income, employment, payment for environmental services? 1: Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed 2: There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities sociated with the protected area 3: There is anajor flow of economic benefits to local communities from activit associated with the protected area 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is no monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation but could be improved	24 c. Impact on communities: Local and/or indigenous people actively support the protected area	
25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services?	Comments and Next Steps	
25. Economic benefit: Is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services?		
25. Economic benefit: is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 2 There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities 3 There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities from activit associated with the protected area 0 There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 1 There is some ad hoc monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 2 There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 2 There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation system but results do not feed back into management 3 A good monitoring and evaluation system exists, is well implemented and u in adaptive management 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 28. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation but could be improved 37. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation but could be improved		
25. Economic benefit: is the protected area providing economic benefits to local communities, e.g. income, employment, payment for environmental services? 2 There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities 3 There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities from activit associated with the protected area 0 There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 1 There is some ad hoc monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 2 There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 2 There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation system but results do not feed back into management 3 A good monitoring and evaluation system exists, is well implemented and u in adaptive management 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 28. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation but could be improved 37. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation but could be improved	OF Economic boundity is the protocol of one providing a company is to a first to be a first of the second second	1: Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are
2: There is some now of economic benefits to local communities 3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities 3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities 3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities associated with the protected area Comments and Next Steps 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate?		
3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities from activit associated with the protected area Comments and Next Steps 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is no monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved	income, employment, payment for environmental services?	2: There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities
26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 0: There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy an no regular collection of results 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 2: There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation system but results do not feed back into management 3: A good monitoring and evaluation system exists, is well implemented and u in adaptive management 3: A good monitoring and evaluation system exists, is well implemented and u in adaptive management 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are inappropriate for current levels of visitation but could be services are adequate for current levels of visitation but could be facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation but could be facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation		3: There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities from activities
Comments and Next Steps 0: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate?		
26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 1: There is some ad hoc monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy and no regular collection of results 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 2: There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation system but results do not feed back into management 3: A good monitoring and evaluation system exists, is well implemented and u in adaptive management 3: A good monitoring and evaluation system exists, is well implemented and u in adaptive management 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are inappropriate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 20. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 0: There are no visitor facilities and services are adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 20. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation but could be improved 0: Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation	Comments and Next Steps	
26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation		0: There is no monitoring and evaluation in the protected area
26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities adequate for current levels of visitation		1: There is some ad hoc monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy and/or
results do not feed back into management 3: A good monitoring and evaluation system exists, is well implemented and up in adaptive management Comments and Next Steps 0: There are no visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 1: Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate?		
3: A good monitoring and evaluation system exists, is well implemented and u in adaptive management Comments and Next Steps 0: There are no visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 1: Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 28. Visitor facilities and services are adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 29. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation	26. Monitoring and evaluation: Are management activities monitored against performance?	
Comments and Next Steps Comments and Next Steps 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities and services are adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 3. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation		
Comments and Next Steps Comments and Services are inappropriate for current levels of visitation 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? Comments and Next Steps Comments and Next Steps Comments and Next Steps Comments and Next Steps Comments and Next Steps Comments and Next Steps Comments and Next Steps Comments and Next Steps Comments and Services are inappropriate for current levels of visitation but could be improved Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments and Services are excellent for current levels of visitation Comments are comments		3: A good monitoring and evaluation system exists, is well implemented and used
0: There are no visitor facilities and services despite an identified need 1: Visitor facilities and services are inappropriate for current levels of visitation 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 28. Visitor facilities and services are adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 3: Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation		
1: Visitor facilities and services are inappropriate for current levels of visitation 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 27. Visitor facilities and services are adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 27. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation	Comments and Next Steps	
27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate? 22. Visitor facilities and services are adequate for current levels of visitation but could be improved 3. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation		
could be improved 3. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation		1: Visitor facilities and services are inappropriate for current levels of visitation
3. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation		
	27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate?	
Comments and Next Steps	27. Visitor facilities: Are visitor facilities adequate?	could be improved
		could be improved 3. Visitor facilities and services are excellent for current levels of visitation

Comments and Next Steps	
routine part of park management	
Comments and Next Steps 30c: Condition of values: Activities to maintain key biodiversity, ecological and cultural values are a	
to biodiversity, ecological and cultural values	
30b: Condition of values Specific management programmes are being implemented to address threats	
Comments and Next Steps	
monitoring	
30a: Condition of values: The assessment of the condition of values is based on research and/or	
Comments and Next Steps	
0. Condition of values: What is the condition of the important values of the protected area as compared to when it was first designated?	
Comments and Next Steps	
29. Fees: If fees (i.e. entry fees or fines) are applied, do they help protected area management?	O: Although fees are theoretically applied, they are not collected 1: Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the protected area or its environs 2: Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the protected area and its environs 3: Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the protected area and its environs
Comments and Next Steps	
28. Commercial tourism operators: Do commercial tour operators contribute to protected area management?	2: There is limited co-operation between managers and tourism operators to enhance visitor experiences and maintain protected area values 3: There is good co-operation between managers and tourism operators to enhance visitor experiences, and maintain protected area values